

Name of university, Name of faculty: Trnava University
Faculty of Law

INFORMATION SHEET OF THE SUBJECT

Code: ZPFd100		Name: Presentation of Thesis Project	
Cover: Faculty of Law			
Type of educational activity: Lecture		Number of credits: 10	Recommended semester: WT
Scope of educational activity (in hours): Weekly: - For term of study: ZS 0			Study grade: PhD. Study
Method of educational activity: Attendance			
Recommended semester	Study programme		
1.year WT	Civil Law (ZObP-DŠ) Civil Law (ZObP-EŠ) Labour Law (ZPrP-DŠ) Labour Law (ZPrP-EŠ) Theory and History of State and Law (ZTDŠP-DŠ) Theory and History of State and Law (ZTDŠP-EŠ) Criminal Law (ZTrP-DŠ) Criminal Law (ZTrP-EŠ)		
Underlie subjects:			
Conditions for passing the course: Method of evaluation: Completion by a continuing assessment Continuous evaluation: The condition for completing the course is the submission of a written dissertation project. The course is completed upon a general evaluation - assessment of the dissertation project, by which the student demonstrates their ability to think creatively in a broader legal theoretical, legal philosophical and legal historical contexts. S/he is able to use various scientific methods, apply the latest knowledge in the field, propose solutions to the researched issues and present the results of their scientific work. The student demonstrates the ability to work independently and approach issues creatively via their preparation of the dissertation thesis. They also demonstrate the degree of familiarity with the current state of knowledge in the field, the suitability of the chosen conceptual approach as well as the approach to partial questions, the suitability of the methodology used and the ability to formulate scientific hypotheses and conclusions. Evaluation scale: 100% - 93% - A; 92% - 85% - B; 84% - 77% - C; 76% - 68% - D; 67% - 60% - E; less than 60% - FX. Final evaluation: Completion by a continuing assessment Finished: By continuing assessment.			
Learning outcomes: By completing the course student: - deepen the ability to ask meaningful research questions; - deepen the ability to formulate scientific hypotheses; - deepen the ability to think conceptually (especially to create a suitable structure of a scientific text); - deepen the ability to search for relevant and current scientific sources.			
Schedule of subject: From the content point of view, the syllabus of the subject is related to the topic of the student's dissertation. The basic task of the teacher is to provide the student with professional advice so that the student is able to submit a meaningful project of the dissertation. In the project, the student conceptually grasps the topic of his dissertation by formulating a current research question and by formulating a scientific hypothesis that answers that question. In the project, the student proposes a methodology through which he will be able to confirm / refute the hypothesis. In the project, the student also propose the layout of his work into its individual chapters, while the emphasis is on the fact that there should exist a logical connection between the chapters. Each of the proposed chapters should have its short annotation, in which the student briefly explains what will be analyzed in the chapter, how will look like a process of its writing, how the partial topic of the chapter (partial hypothesis) is related to the topic (main hypothesis) of the dissertation, etc. The teacher makes sure that the dissertation project leads the student to write an original scientific work that reflects current scientific sources in the relevant field of knowledge.			
Recommended reading: The student defines the literature by himself depending on the theme of his/her dissertation work. The teacher's role is to ensure that the student uses current and relevant literature and he also supervises, in particular that the student does not omit key reference literature in the relevant field of knowledge when writing the project of dissertation.			
Language requirements: Slovak			
Notes: The student can enroll in the course only after agreement with the teacher. Before enrolling in a course, the teacher determines whether the student is qualified to successfully complete the course; in particular he examines the stage of development and of the quality of the text that the student wants to complete as a monograph. Person responsible for the doctoral study program Labour Law: Prof. JUDr. Mgr. Andrea Olšovská, PhD. Person responsible for the doctoral study program Criminal Law: Prof. JUDr. Ivan Šimovček, CSc. Person responsible for the doctoral study program Civil Law: Doc. JUDr. Monika Jurčová, PhD., mim. prof. Person responsible for the doctoral study program Theory and History of State and Law:			

Prof. JUDr. PhDr. Tomáš Gábriš, PhD., LL.M., MA.

Course evaluation:

Assessed students in total: 129

A	B	C	D	E	FX
79%	5%	3%	1%	0%	12%

Lecturers:

doc. JUDr. Katarína Gešková, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Soňa Košičiarová, PhD., examiner
prof. PhDr. JUDr. Adriana Pollák, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Bc. Miriam Laclaviková, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Jozef Záhora, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Karel Eliáš, Dr., examiner
prof. JUDr. Kristián Csach, PhD., LL.M., examiner
prof. JUDr. Mgr. Andrea Olšovská, PhD., examiner
Mgr. Lucia Berdisová, PhD., LL.M., examiner
doc. JUDr. Dagmar Lantajová, PhD., examiner
Dr. h. c. prof. doc. JUDr. Marek Šmid, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Ing. Adrián Jalč, PhD., examiner
doc. JUDr. Peter Varga, PhD., examiner
prof. PhDr. JUDr. Tomáš Gábriš, PhD., LL.M., MA, examiner
doc. Mgr. Marek Káčer, PhD., examiner
doc. JUDr. Viktor Križan, PhD., examiner
doc. JUDr. Lucia Žitňanská, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Monika Jurčová, PhD., examiner
prof. JUDr. Ivan Šimovček, CSc., examiner
doc. JUDr. Eva Szabová, PhD., univ. prof., examiner
doc. JUDr. Marianna Novotná, PhD., univ. prof., examiner
doc. JUDr. Miloš Lacko, PhD., examiner
doc. JUDr. Peter Vyšný, PhD., Ph.D., examiner

Date of last change: 01.09.2026

Approved by: prof. JUDr. Mgr. Andrea Olšovská, PhD.