

Name of university, Name of faculty: Trnava University
Faculty of Law

INFORMATION SHEET OF THE SUBJECT

Code: XNPFb315 **Name:** Bachelor's Thesis and Its Defense

Cover: Faculty of Law

Type of educational activity: State Examination

Scope of educational activity (in hours):

Weekly: 0

For term of study: LS 0

Method of educational activity: Combined

Number of credits: 10

Recommended semester: ST

Study grade:
Bachelor

Recommended semester	Study programme
3.year ST	Law (XDŠBc-PR) Law (XEŠBc-PR-23)
4.year ST	Law (XEŠBc-PR)

Underlie subjects:

Conditions for passing the course:

Method of evaluation: Completion by taking a state examination

Continuous evaluation:

Final evaluation: The conditions for passing the course are the submission of a bachelor's thesis and its successful defense in the form of a state exam.

There is evaluated the following:

- bachelor's thesis, which was prepared and submitted in accordance with the requirements and internal regulations set by the Trnava University in Trnava and the Faculty of Law of the Trnava University in Trnava together with the schedule of consultations and final thesis and assessed by the supervisor and opponents who prepared a written thesis testimonials;

- defense of the bachelor's thesis in front of the examination commission, which includes the presentation of the results of the bachelor's thesis, a professional discussion on it and answering the opponent's questions and comments.

The evaluation will be awarded according to the classification scale of the Study Regulations of the University of Trnava in Trnava.

If the supervisor and the opponent have classified the final thesis with the grade FX - insufficient, the thesis is not submitted for defense procedure and the student must revise it and submit it again within the deadline set by the faculty schedule. If only the supervisor or only the opponent rated the final thesis with the FX grade, the student has the right to participate in the defense of their final thesis. If a student obtains an FX - insufficient at the defense and had a rating by supervisor and opponent of the work in the range of classification grades A - excellent to E - sufficient, he / she can defend the work again in the corrective term. After consulting with the supervisor of the final thesis and the head of the department or the director of the department, the student has the right to rework their final thesis and submit it for defense within the corrective term determined by the dean of the faculty. If the evaluation of the supervisor or the opponent of the thesis was FX - insufficient and the result of the defense of the thesis was FX - insufficient, the student must rework the thesis and defend it in the corrective term. When evaluating the defense of the final thesis with the mark FX - insufficient, the examination committee will determine the degree of reworking of the final thesis with the earliest possible date of repeating the defense of the final thesis.

Finished: By state exam.

Learning outcomes:

The student is able to compile a syllabus of a professional text and choose appropriate professional and scientific literature and other relevant sources for the processing of the topic of their bachelor's thesis. The student is able to analyse, synthesize and critically evaluate the resources used in the design of the bachelor's thesis. The student can correctly apply related legal terms, formulations and interpretative schemes. The student is able to define basic legal terms and theoretical-legal concepts related to the topic of their bachelor thesis. On the basis of consultations of partial results of the work, the student is able to actively and independently prepare a formally and content-wise professional text while respecting the standards and principles of ethics of the creation of final theses. The student is able to name and describe the theoretical basis of their bachelor's thesis and can explain their causes and causal relationship. The student is able to present the topic of the bachelor's thesis using examples. The student is able to assess the contribution of researching their topic. The student is able to present the topic with logical continuity, content and form correctly, equally in written and oral expression. When defending the final thesis, the student responds to the comments of the supervisor and the opponent, stated in their opinions and to the questions of the members of the examination commission during the professional discussion. When answering the questions and solving the assigned tasks, the student demonstrates the acquired knowledge, skills and competencies in the subject area.

Schedule of subject:

The content of the course is framed by the Guidelines of the Dean of the Faculty of Law, TU, on the schedule of consultations, elaboration and defense of the final thesis, related appendices and the Instruction of the Dean of the Faculty of Law, TU, on the course of consultations and the procedure of elaboration of final theses. The content of the course is determined individually after consultation with the supervisor of the bachelor's thesis (supervisor) and is usually divided into individual consultations according to the elaboration of partial tasks in the processing of the topic. Through the cooperation between the supervisor of the bachelor's thesis (supervisor) and the student, the student is provided with basic guidance in writing the final thesis, based on the student's knowledge of the compulsory subject Methodology of Scientific Work.

Recommended reading:

The selection of the literature is determined individually, after consultation with the supervisor of the bachelor's thesis (supervisor) with regard to the topic of the bachelor's thesis. The literature for the course is divided into monographs, periodicals, legal regulations, related decisions of law enforcement bodies and other relevant sources.

Language requirements: Slovak

Notes: Student's workload: 250 hours, of which at least two mandatory consultations in the length according to the student's needs, in accordance with the Schedule of consultations and elaboration of the bachelor's and master's thesis.					
Course evaluation: Assessed students in total: 737					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
30%	21%	16%	6%	7%	19%
Lecturers: doc. JUDr. Miloš Lacko, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Ing. Adrián Jalč, PhD., examiner JUDr. Jakub Neumann, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Veronika Zoričáková, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Mgr. Andrea Olšovská, PhD., examiner doc. et doc. JUDr. Ján Šanta, PhD., MBA, LL.M, examiner doc. JUDr. Eva Szabová, PhD., univ. prof., examiner doc. Mgr. Marek Káčer, PhD., examiner JUDr. Katarína Kuklová, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Jozef Záhora, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Michal Maslen, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Milan Hlušák, PhD., examiner JUDr. Ľubica Masárová, PhD., examiner Mgr. Lucia Berdisová, PhD., LL.M., examiner doc. JUDr. Lucia Žitňanská, PhD., examiner JUDr. Karin Vrtíková, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Soňa Košičiarová, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Katarína Gešková, PhD., examiner Mgr. Samuel Rybníkář, PhD., examiner prof. PhDr. JUDr. Adriana Pollák, PhD., examiner JUDr. Zuzana Nevolná, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Monika Jurčová, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Bc. Míriam Laclavíková, PhD., examiner JUDr. Ingrid Lanczová, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Dagmar Lantajová, PhD., examiner prof. PhDr. JUDr. Tomáš Gábriš, PhD., LL.M., MA, examiner JUDr. Jozef Zámožik, PhD., examiner JUDr. Róbert Dobrovodský, PhD., LL.M., univ. doc., examiner JUDr. Zuzana Adamová, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Štefan Zeman, PhD., examiner Mgr. Jozef Štefanko, PhD., LL.M., examiner Mgr. Ivan Novotný, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Peter Mészáros, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Peter Varga, PhD., examiner doc. JUDr. Viktor Križan, PhD., examiner JUDr. Jozef Kolárik, PhD., examiner Mgr. Katarína Kremser, PhD., examiner JUDr. Miroslava Mittelmanová, examiner Dr. h. c. prof. doc. JUDr. Marek Šmid, PhD., examiner prof. JUDr. Kristián Csach, PhD., LL.M., examiner doc. JUDr. Marianna Novotná, PhD., univ. prof., examiner prof. JUDr. Ivan Šimovček, CSc., examiner doc. JUDr. Peter Vyšný, PhD., Ph.D., examiner Vyučujúci príslušný na vedenie bakalárskej je školiteľ určený podľa pravidiel fakulty a podľa výberu témy bakalárskej práce študentom z vypísaných tém bakalárskych prác jednotlivých vyučujúcich fakulty. Hodnotenie predmetu sa uskutoční v súlade s ustanovením čl. 22 Študijného poriadku PF TU, a to na základe posudkov vypracovaných školiteľom, oponentom a celkového priebehu obhajoby bakalárskej práce.					
Date of last change: 01.09.2026					
Approved by: prof. PhDr. JUDr. Tomáš Gábriš, PhD., LL.M., MA					